

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,402	12/28/2000	Michael Wayne Nelson	CSCO-85861 9515		
7590 02/23/2005			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			VU, KIEU D		
Third Floor Two North Marl	ket Street		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95113			2173		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/752,402	NELSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kieu D Vu	2173			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	<u>ctober 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s	•					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		ate catent Application (PTO-	152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/752,402

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-17, 20-28, and 31-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Net Nanny Software International Inc" (September 12, 2000) and Petersen (USP 6401041).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 23, and 34, "Net Nanny Software International Inc" teaches a method for user review and validation of content (content-based evaluations of television programs, movies and video games) (page 1) comprising the steps of displaying said content (show, game, or movie) (page 2); and displaying an indication of a state of a user's validation of said content ("green light", "yellow light", or "red light" rating). "Net Nanny Software International Inc" does not explicitly teach that the color indicates the negative or positive validation. However, this feature is known in the art as taught by Petersen. Petersen teaches steps for displaying graphical representation of agricultural information which uses color representation to show the positive or negative status of the information displayed. For example, green shows positive validation since it represents healthy crops, red shows negative validation since it represents serious threat to the crop production (see col 5, lines 10-27). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art having the teaching of "Net Nanny Software International Inc"

Application/Control Number: 09/752,402

Art Unit: 2173

and Petersen before him at the time the invention was made, to explicitly include the teaching of negative or positive validation of Petersen with the color indication of "Net Nanny Software International Inc" so that parents and caregivers can quickly learn the validation status of the show, game, or movie.

Regarding claims 2, 13, 24, and 35, "Net Nanny Software International Inc" teaches receiving user submitted comments to said content (receiving panel's rating), said user submitted comments affecting said indicated state of validation of said content (page 2).

Regarding claims 3, 14, 25, and 36, in "Net Nanny Software International Inc", since the rating is on the content that the panel already viewed, it is clear that corrections to content affects said indicated state of validation of said content.

Regarding claims 4, 15, 26, and 37, "Net Nanny Software International Inc" teaches receiving user submitted validation of said content, said user submitted validation of content affecting said indicated state of validation of said content (submitted rating of the panel affects validation state of show, game, or movie) (page 2).

Regarding claims 5, 16, 27, and 38, "Net Nanny Software International Inc" does not teach receiving user submitted validation of comment on said content, said user submitted validation of said comment on content affecting said indicated state of validation of said content. However, such feature is known in the art as taught by Petersen. Petersen teaches that data received from various resources will be reviewed and validated (col 7, lines 1-19). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art having the teaching of "Net Nanny Software International Inc" and Petersen

Art Unit: 2173

before him at the time the invention was made, to include the validation of data received from the various resources taught by Petersen with the motivation being to provide the viewers with correct data.

Regarding claims 6, 17, 28, and 39, Petersen teaches receiving user submitted validation of correction to said content, said user submitted validation of correction of content affecting said indicated state of validation of said content (administrator verifies and validates data correction) (col 7, lines 1-19).

Regarding claims 9-10, 20-21, 31-32, and 42-43 Petersen teaches new content or added contents submitted by a users (data submitted by resources) (col 7, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 11, 22, 33, and 44, Petersen teaches content is pertaining to technical Information (agricultural data).

3. Claims 7, 18, 29, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Net Nanny Software International Inc" (September 12, 2000) and Petersen (USP 6401041) and Gill et al ("Gill", WO 0052590 A1).

Regarding claims 7, 18, 29, and 40, "Net Nanny Software International Inc" teaches green light, yellow light, and red light associated with each rating of the content (page 2). Petersen teaches that green shows positive validation since it represents healthy crops, red shows negative validation since it represents serious threat to the crop production, yellow falls between red and green (see col 5, lines 10-27). Neither "Net Nanny Software International Inc" nor Petersen teaches yellow light indicates the content that has not been reviewed. However, such feature is known in the art as taught by Gill. Gill teaches digital media asset management which comprises displaying icon

Application/Control Number: 09/752,402

Art Unit: 2173

which shows that a digital item (content) has not been checked by user (page 18, 3rd paragraph). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art having the teaching of "Net Nanny Software International Inc", Petersen, and Gill before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the color indication taught by "Net Nanny Software International Inc" and Petersen so that yellow light indicates the content has not been reviewed or checked by user so that the user can use with caution with the motivation being to display different both reviewed content and un-checked content.

4. Claims 8, 19, 30, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Net Nanny Software International Inc" (September 12, 2000) and Petersen (USP 6401041) and Solimene et al ("Solimene", USP 5828376).

Regarding claims 8, 19, 30, and 41, "Net Nanny Software International Inc" teaches the content and ratings are displayed on web page (page 1). Gill teaches agricultural data and color indicator are displayed on web page (col 1, lines 7-11). Neither "Net Nanny Software International Inc" nor Gill teaches fields and pull-down menus for enabling the selection of categories and sub-topics. However, such feature is known in the art as taught by Solimene. Solimene teaches a graphical user interface comprising pull down menu enabling user to select menu item under menu category (Fig. 3B). Since pull-down menu is known to be used to save screen estate, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art having the teaching of "Net Nanny Software International Inc", Petersen, and Gill before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the graphical user interface system taught by "Net Nanny Software

Application/Control Number: 09/752,402 Page 6

Art Unit: 2173

International Inc" and Petersen to include pull-down menu taught by Solimene to efficiently use screen display screen.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/07/04 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4057.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at 571-272-4048.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

703-872-9306

and / or:

571-273-4057 (use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kieu D. Vu

Patent Examiner

Kowhlow In